

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Attendees, Date and Type of Interview

Applicants thank Examiner Charles Marmor and Examiner Christian Jang for the telephonic interview with Applicants' representative, Kaare Larson, and inventor Apurv Kamath, on July 27, 2010 to discuss the pending application.

Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

None.

Identification of Claims Discussed

Claims 119, 121, 122 and 161.

Identification of References Discussed

U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0026110 ("Parris") and U.S. Patent No. 6,424,847 ("Mastrototaro").

Proposed Amendments, Principal Arguments, and Other Matters

Applicants' representatives discussed the differences between Parris and Mastrototaro and the pending claims.

It was agreed that neither Parris nor Mastrototaro teach "replacing with an electronic device at least some of the signal artifacts with one or more estimated glucose values in response to the evaluated severity meeting a criterion, wherein replacing comprises outputting or displaying the one or more estimated glucose values", as recited in each of Claims 191, 121 and 161. Accordingly, the Examiners agreed that the rejections of these claims would be withdrawn.

A clarifying amendment of Claim 122 was also discussed. The Examiners indicated that Claim 122 would be patentably distinguishable over the references cited in the Office Action should Applicants clarify that the data stream being monitored is indicative of a current output from a glucose sensor.

Finally, the Examiners agreed to consider new dependent claims.